

## DERIVATION OF THREE FUNDAMENTAL MASSES AND DIRAC'S LARGE NUMBERS HYPOTHESIS BY DIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS

Dimitar Valev

Space Research and Technology Institute – Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
e-mail: valev@gbg.bg

**Keywords:** dimensional analysis, mass of the observable universe, Hubble mass, Dirac's large numbers hypothesis

**Abstract:** Three mass dimension quantities have been derived by dimensional analysis by means of fundamental constants – the speed of light in vacuum ( $c$ ), the gravitational constant ( $G$ ), the reduced Planck constant ( $\hbar$ ) and the Hubble constant ( $H$ ). The extremely small mass  $m_1 \sim \frac{\hbar H}{c^2} \sim 10^{-33}$  eV has been identified with the Hubble mass  $m_H$ , which seems close to the graviton mass  $m_G$ . The enormous mass  $m_2 \sim \frac{c^3}{GH} \sim 10^{53}$  kg is close to the mass of the Hubble sphere and practically coincides with the Hoyle-Carvalho formula for the mass of the observable universe. The third mass  $m_3 \sim \sqrt[5]{\frac{H\hbar^3}{G^2}} \sim 10^7$  GeV could not be unambiguously identified at present time. Besides, it has been found remarkable fact that the Planck mass  $m_{Pl} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} \sim 10^8$  kg appears geometric mean of the extreme masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ . Finally, the substantial large number  $N = \sqrt{\frac{c^5}{2G\hbar H^2}} \approx 5.73 \times 10^{60}$  has been derived relating cosmological parameters (mass, density, age and size of the observable universe) and fundamental microscopic properties of the matter (Planck units and Hubble mass). Thus, a precise formulation and proof of Dirac's Large Numbers Hypothesis (LNH) has been found.

## ИЗВЕЖДАНЕ НА ТРИ ФУНДАМЕНТАЛНИ МАСИ И ХИПОТЕЗАТА НА ДИРАК ЗА ГОЛЕМИТЕ ЧИСЛА ПОСРЕДСТВОМ АНАЛИЗ НА РАЗМЕРНОСТИТЕ

Димитър Вълев

Институт за космически изследвания и технологии – Българска академия на науките  
e-mail: valev@gbg.bg

**Ключови думи:** анализ на размерностите, маса на наблюдаемата Вселена, маса на Хъбъл, хипотеза на Дирак за големите числа

**Резюме:** Посредством анализ на размерностите бяха изведени три величини с размерност на маса с помощта на фундаменталните константи – скорост на светлината във вакуум ( $c$ ), гравитационната константа ( $G$ ), редуцираната Планкова константа ( $\hbar$ ) и константата на Хъбъл ( $H$ ). Изключително малката маса  $m_1 \sim \frac{\hbar H}{c^2} \sim 10^{-33}$  eV бе идентифицирана с масата на Хъбъл  $m_H$ , която изглежда близка до масата на гравитона  $m_G$ . Огромната маса  $m_2 \sim \frac{c^3}{GH} \sim 10^{53}$  kg е близка до масата на сферата на Хъбъл и практически съвпада с формулата на Хойл-Карвальо за масата на

наблюдаемата Вселена. Третата маса  $m_3 \sim \sqrt[5]{\frac{H\hbar^3}{G^2}} \sim 10^7$  GeV понастоящем не може да бъде недвусмислено идентифицирана. Освен това бе установен забележителния факт, че масата на Планк  $m_{Pl} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} \sim 10^8$  kg се явява средно геометрична на екстремалните маси  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ . И накрая, бе изведено голямото число  $N = \sqrt{\frac{c^5}{2G\hbar H^2}} \approx 5.73 \times 10^{60}$ , свързващо космологични параметри (масата, плътността, възрастта и размерите на наблюдаемата Вселена) с фундаментални микроскопични характеристики на материята (Планковите единици и масата на Хъбъл). По такъв начин бе намерена точна формулировка и доказателство на хипотезата на Дирак за големите числа.

## Introduction

The Planck mass  $m_{Pl} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}}$  has been introduced in [1] by means of three fundamental constants – the speed of light in vacuum ( $c$ ), the gravitational constant ( $G$ ) and the reduced Planck constant ( $\hbar$ ). Since the constants  $c$ ,  $G$  and  $\hbar$  represent three very basic aspects of the universe (i.e. the relativistic, gravitational and quantum phenomena), the Planck mass appears to a certain degree a unification of these phenomena. The Planck mass have many important aspects in the modern physics. One of them is that the energy equivalent of Planck mass  $E_{Pl} = m_{Pl}c^2 \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^5}{G}} \sim 10^{19}$  GeV appears unification energy of the fundamental interactions [2]. Also, the Planck mass can be derived by setting it as a mass, whose Compton wavelength and gravitational radius are equal [3]. Analogously, formulae for Planck length  $l_{Pl}$ , Planck time  $t_{Pl} = l_{Pl}/c$  and Planck density  $\rho_{Pl}$  were derived by dimensional analysis. In quantum gravity models, the Planck length is the length scale at which the structure of spacetime becomes dominated by quantum effects.

The Planck mass formula has been derived by dimensional analysis using fundamental constants  $c$ ,  $G$  and  $\hbar$ . The dimensional analysis is a conceptual tool often applied in physics to understand physical situations involving certain physical quantities [4-8]. It is routinely used to check the plausibility of the derived equations and computations. When it is known, the certain quantity with which other determinative quantities would be connected, but the form of this connection is unknown, a dimensional equation is composed for its finding. In the left side of the equation, the unit of this quantity  $q_0$  with its dimensional exponent has been placed. In the right side of the equation, the product of units of the determinative quantities  $q_i$  rise to the unknown exponents  $n_i$  has been placed

$[q_0] \sim \prod_{i=1}^n [q_i]^{n_i}$ , where  $n$  is positive integer and the exponents  $n_i$  are rational numbers. Most often, the

dimensional analysis is applied in the mechanics and other fields of the modern physics, where there are many problems with a few determinative quantities. Many interesting and important problems related to the fundamental constants have been considered in [9-13].

The discovery of the linear relationship between recessional velocity of distant galaxies, and distance  $v = Hr$  [14] introduces new fundamental constant in physics and cosmology – the famous Hubble constant ( $H$ ). Even seven years before, Friedman [15] derived his equations from the Einstein field equations [16], showing that the universe might expand at a rate calculable by the equations. The Hubble constant determines the age of the universe  $H^{-1}$ , the Hubble distance  $cH^{-1}$ , the critical density of the universe  $\rho_c = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} \sim 10^{-26} \text{ kg/m}^3$  [17], and other large-scale properties of the universe.

Because of the importance of the Hubble constant, in the present paper we include  $H$  in the dimensional analysis together with  $c$ ,  $G$  and  $\hbar$  aiming to find the new mass dimension quantities

$m_i \sim \prod_{j=1}^3 q_j^{n_j}$ , where every triad  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  consists of three constants  $c$ ,  $G$ ,  $H$  or  $\hbar$ . Thus, the Hubble

constant will represent the cosmological phenomena in new derived fundamental masses. The attempt to compose a mass dimension quantity by means of the four constants together produces an undetermined system of linear equations and it has been neglected. According to the recent

cosmology, the Hubble “constant” slowly decreases with the age of the universe, but there are indications that other constants, especially gravitational constant  $G$  and fine structure constants  $\alpha$  also vary with comparable rate [18-20]. That is why, the Hubble constant could deserve being treated on an equal level with the other three constants used from Planck.

Dirac [18] suggested the Large Numbers Hypothesis (*LNH*) pointing out that the ratio of the age of the universe  $H^{-1}$  and the atomic unit of time  $\tau = \frac{e^2}{m_e c^3} \sim 10^{-23} s$  is a large number of the order of  $10^{40}$ . Besides, the ratio of electrostatic  $e^2 / r^2$  and gravitational forces  $Gm_e m_p / r^2$  between proton and electron in a hydrogen atom is of the order of  $10^{39}$  and the ratio of mass of the observable universe  $M$  and nucleon mass roughly is of the order of  $10^{80}$ :

$$(1) \quad \frac{H^{-1}}{\tau} \sim \frac{e^2}{Gm_e m_p} \sim \sqrt{\frac{M}{m_p}} \sim N_D \sim 10^{40},$$

where  $e$  is the charge of the electron,  $m_e$  is the electron mass,  $m_p$  is the proton mass and  $N_D \sim 10^{40}$  is the Dirac's large number.

Relying on the ratios (1), he proposed that as a consequence of causal connections between macro and micro physical world, gravitational constant  $G$  slowly decreases with time whereas mass of the universe increases in result of slow creation of matter. Although the *LNH* is inconsistent with General Relativity, the former has inspired and continues to inspire a significant body of scientific literature.

Many other interesting ratios have been found approximately relating some cosmological parameters and microscopic properties of the matter. For example, Narlikar [21] shows that the ratio of radius of the observable universe and classical radius of the electron  $\frac{e^2}{m_e c^2}$  is of the order of  $10^{40}$ .

Besides, the ratio of the electron mass and Hubble (mass) parameter  $\frac{\hbar H}{c^2}$  approximates to  $10^{39}$  [22]. Jordan [23] noted that the mass ratio for a typical star and an electron is of the order of  $10^{60}$ . The ratio of mass of the observable universe and Planck mass is of the order of  $10^{61}$  [24]. Peacock [25] points out that the ratio of Hubble distance and Planck length is of the order of  $10^{60}$ . Finally, the ratio of Planck density  $\rho_{Pl}$  and recent critical density of the universe  $\rho_c$  is found to be of the order of  $10^{121}$  [26]. Most of these large numbers are rough ratios of astrophysical parameters and microscopic properties of the matter determined with accuracy of the order of magnitude.

### Derivation of three fundamental masses by dimensional analysis

A quantity  $m_1$  having mass dimension could be composed by means of the fundamental constants  $c$ ,  $\hbar$  and  $H$ :

$$(2) \quad m_1 = kc^{n_1} \hbar^{n_2} H^{n_3}$$

where  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$  and  $n_3$  are unknown exponents to be determined by matching the dimensions of both sides of the equation, and  $k$  is dimensionless parameter of an order of magnitude of a unit.

As a result we find the system of linear equations:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} n_1 + 2n_2 &= 0 \\ -n_1 - n_2 - n_3 &= 0 \\ n_2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

The unique solution of the system is  $n_1 = -2, n_2 = 1, n_3 = 1$ . Replacing obtained values of the exponents in equation (2) we find formula (4) for the mass  $m_1$ :

$$(4) \quad m_H = m_1 \sim \frac{\hbar H}{c^2}$$

This equation has been derived by dimensional analysis in [27]. The recent experimental values of  $c$ ,  $\hbar$  and  $H$  are used:  $c = 299792458 \text{ m/s}$ ,  $\hbar = 1.054571596 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}$  [28] and  $H \approx 70 \text{ km/s Mps}$  [29]. Replacing these values in (4) we obtain  $m_1 \sim 2.70 \times 10^{-69} \text{ kg} = 1.52 \times 10^{-33} \text{ eV}$ . This exceptionally small mass coincides with the so called "Hubble mass"  $m_H = \frac{\hbar H}{c^2}$  [30, 31], which takes substantial place in the estimations of total information and entropy of the observable universe [32-34]. Thus, the mass (4) seems close to the graviton mass  $m_G$  obtained by different methods [35-38]. Evidently, the mass  $m_1 \equiv m_H \sim m_G$  is in several orders of magnitude smaller than the upper limit of the graviton mass, obtained by astrophysical constraints [39].

From equation (4) we find that the reduced Compton wavelength  $\tilde{\lambda}_H$  of Hubble mass is equal to the Hubble distance  $cH^{-1}$ :

$$(5) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_H = \frac{\hbar}{m_H c} = cH^{-1} \sim 1.3 \times 10^{26} \text{ m}$$

The Compton wavelength of graviton (gauge boson of gravity) determines the range of gravitational interaction that appears finite due to of massive graviton. The range of gravity is of the order of magnitude of the Hubble distance, therefore the last gives the size of gravitationally connected (observed) universe for an arbitrary observer.

Analogously, by means of the fundamental constants  $c$ ,  $G$  and  $H$ , a quantity  $m_2$  having dimension of a mass could be composed:

$$(6) \quad m_2 = kc^{n_1} G^{n_2} H^{n_3},$$

where  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$  and  $n_3$  are unknown exponents to be determined by matching the dimensions of both sides of the equation, and  $k$  is dimensionless parameter of an order of magnitude of a unit.

We determine the exponents  $n_1 = 3, n_2 = -1, n_3 = -1$  by the dimensional analysis again. Replacing the obtained values of the exponents in equation (6) we find formula (7) for the mass  $m_2$ :

$$(7) \quad M \sim m_2 \sim \frac{c^3}{GH}$$

Equation (7) has been derived by dimensional analysis in [40]. This formula practically coincides with the Hoyle formula [41] for the mass of the observable universe  $M = \frac{c^3}{2GH}$  and perfectly coincides with Carvalho formula [42] for the mass of the observable universe  $M$ , obtained by totally different approach.

The Hubble sphere is the sphere where the recessional velocity of the galaxies is equal to the speed of the light in vacuum  $c$ , and according to the Hubble law  $v = c$  when  $r = cH^{-1}$ . Besides, the Hubble sphere coincides with gravitationally connected universe for an arbitrary observer. Thus, the Hubble sphere appears a three-dimensional sphere, centered on the observer, having radius  $r = cH^{-1}$  and density  $\bar{\rho} \approx \rho_c$ . Evidently, the formula (7) is close to the mass of the Hubble sphere  $M_H$ :

$$(8) \quad M = M_H = \frac{4}{3} \pi \frac{c^3}{H^3} \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} = \frac{c^3}{2GH}$$

Replacing the recent values of the constants  $c$ ,  $G$  and  $H$  in (7) we obtain  $m_1 \sim 1.76 \times 10^{53}$  kg. Therefore, the enormous mass  $m_2$  is close to the mass of the observable universe  $M$ .

From formulae (4) and (7) we find the important relation (9):

$$(9) \quad \sqrt{m_1 m_2} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} = m_{Pl}$$

Therefore, the Planck mass appears geometric mean of the graviton mass and the mass of the observable universe. As the physical quantity mass is among the most substantial properties of the matter, the formula (9) hints at a deep relation of the micro particles and the entire universe.

The third quantity  $m_3$ , having mass dimension could be composed by means of the fundamental constants  $G$ ,  $\hbar$  and  $H$ :

$$(10) \quad m_3 = k G^{n_1} \hbar^{n_2} H^{n_3}$$

We determine the exponents  $n_1 = -\frac{2}{5}$ ,  $n_2 = \frac{3}{5}$ ,  $n_3 = \frac{1}{5}$  by dimensional analysis again. Replacing the obtained values of the exponents in equation (10) we find formula (11) for the mass  $m_3$ :

$$(11) \quad m_3 \sim \sqrt[5]{\frac{H \hbar^3}{G^2}}$$

Replacing the recent values of the constants  $G$ ,  $\hbar$  and  $H$ , the mass  $m_3$  takes value  $m_3 \sim 1.43 \times 10^{-20}$  kg  $\approx 8.0 \times 10^6$  GeV. This mass is a dozen of orders of magnitude lighter than the Planck mass and several orders of magnitude heavier than the heaviest known particles like the top quark  $m_t \approx 174.3$  GeV [43]. On the other hand, the energy  $m_3 c^2 \sim 8 \times 10^6$  GeV appears medial for the important GUT scale  $E_{GUT} \sim 10^{16}$  GeV and electroweak scale  $E_{EW} \sim 10^2$  GeV. Therefore, the mass/energy  $m_3$  could not be unambiguously identified at the present time, and it could be considered as heuristic prediction of the suggested approach concerning unknown very heavy particle or fundamental energy scale. Equation (11) has been derived by dimensional analysis in [44].

Finally, we would like again to demonstrate the heuristic power of the suggested approach approximately estimating the total density of the universe by dimensional analysis. Actually, a quantity  $\rho$  having dimension of density could be composed by means of the fundamental constants  $c$ ,  $G$  and  $H$ :

$$(12) \quad \rho = k c^{n_1} G^{n_2} H^{n_3},$$

where  $k$  is a dimensionless parameter of the order of magnitude of unit.

By the dimensional analysis, we have found the exponents  $n_1 = 0$ ,  $n_2 = -1$ ,  $n_3 = 2$ . Therefore:

$$(13) \quad \rho \sim \frac{H^2}{G} \approx 7.93 \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg/m}^3$$

The recent Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) observations show that the total density of the universe  $\bar{\rho}$  is [45-47]:

$$(14) \quad \bar{\rho} = \Omega \rho_c \approx \rho_c = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} \sim 10^{-26} \text{ kg/m}^3$$

Evidently, the density  $\rho$  derived by means of the fundamental constants  $c$ ,  $G$  and  $H$  coincides with formula (14) for the total density of the universe with an accuracy of a dimensionless parameter of

an order of magnitude of a unit. Besides, the formula (13) could be derived by means of other triad of fundamental constants, namely  $G$ ,  $\hbar$  and  $H$ .

### Derivation of Dirac's large numbers hypothesis

The Planck mass  $m_{Pl} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}}$  and formulae (4) and (7) for the Hubble mass and mass of the observable universe have been derived by dimensional analysis by means of the fundamental constants  $c$ ,  $G$ ,  $\hbar$  and  $H$ . The Planck density  $\rho_{Pl} \sim \frac{c^5}{\hbar G^2} \approx 5.2 \times 10^{96} \text{ kg/m}^3$ , the Planck length  $l_{Pl} \sim \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}} \approx 1.14 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}$ , the Planck time  $t_{Pl} = \frac{l_{Pl}}{c} \sim \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^5}} \approx 5.37 \times 10^{-44} \text{ s}$  and the formula (13) for the total density of the universe also are obtained by dimensional analysis. Taking into account above mentioned formulae and Hubble distance  $cH^{-1}$  and age of the universe  $H^{-1}$  we find remarkable ratios (15):

$$(15) \quad \sqrt{\frac{M}{m_H}} = \frac{M}{m_{Pl}} = \frac{m_{Pl}}{m_H} = \frac{cH^{-1}}{l_{Pl}} = \frac{H^{-1}}{t_{Pl}} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{Pl}}{\bar{\rho}}} = \sqrt{\frac{c^5}{G\hbar H^2}} = N \approx 8.1 \times 10^{60}$$

These ratios appear very important because they relate cosmological parameters (mass, density, age and size of the observable universe) and the fundamental microscopic properties of the matter (Planck mass, Planck density, Planck time, Planck length and Hubble mass). In recent quantum gravity models, the Planck units imply quantization of spacetime at extremely short range. Thus, the ratios (15) represent connection between cosmological parameters and quantum properties of spacetime. Obviously, the ratios (15) appear a formulation of *LNH*.

As it has been mention in Section 1, the dimensional analysis allows to find unknown quantity with accuracy to dimensionless parameter  $k$  of the order of magnitude of unit. Below, we shall recalculate the ratios (15) using exact values of the respective quantities. The exact value of Planck mass could be found from definition of the Planck mass as the mass, whose Compton wavelength  $\tilde{\lambda}$  and gravitational (Schwarzschild) radius  $r_s$  are equal:

$$(16) \quad \tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\hbar}{mc} = r_s = \frac{2Gm}{c^2}$$

Thus, from (16) we find the exact value of Planck mass:

$$(17) \quad m_{Pl} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{2G}} \approx 1.53 \times 10^{-8} \text{ kg}$$

The exact value of Planck length  $l_{Pl}$  could be found from (16) and (17):

$$(18) \quad l_{Pl} = r_s = \frac{2G}{c^2} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{2G}} = \sqrt{\frac{2G\hbar}{c^3}} \approx 1.61 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}$$

Finally, the exact value of the Planck density is the density of a sphere possessing mass  $m_p$  and radius  $l_{Pl}$ :

$$(19) \quad \rho_{Pl} = \frac{3m_{Pl}}{4\pi l_{Pl}^3} = \frac{3}{16\pi} \frac{c^5}{\hbar G^2} \approx 3.1 \times 10^{95} \text{ kg/m}^3$$

Taking into account formulae (4), (8), (17), (18) and (19) as well as the Planck time  $t_{Pl} = \frac{l_{Pl}}{c} = \sqrt{\frac{2G\hbar}{c^5}} \approx 3.8 \times 10^{-44}$  s, Hubble distance  $cH^{-1}$ , Hubble time (“age of the universe”)  $H^{-1}$  and total density of the universe  $\bar{\rho} \approx \rho_c = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G}$  we find the ratios (20):

$$(20) \quad \sqrt{\frac{M}{m_H}} = \frac{M}{m_{Pl}} = \frac{m_{Pl}}{m_H} = \frac{cH^{-1}}{l_{Pl}} = \frac{H^{-1}}{t_{Pl}} = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{Pl}}{\bar{\rho}}} = \sqrt{\frac{c^5}{2G\hbar H^2}} = N \approx 5.73 \times 10^{60}$$

It is worth noting that all ratios (20) are *exact*. Besides, the large number  $N = \sqrt{\frac{c^5}{2G\hbar H^2}} \approx 5.73 \times 10^{60}$  is not simply ratio of two quantities but it is a *formula* expressed by means of the fundamental constants  $c$ ,  $G$ ,  $\hbar$  and  $H$ . Thus, the ratios (20) represent exact formulation of the *LNH* while the ratios (15) are approximate.

The relation (21) could be found from (4), (8), (14) and (19):

$$(21) \quad v_0 = \frac{M}{\rho_{Pl}} = \frac{m_H}{\bar{\rho}} = \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{G\hbar}{Hc^2} \approx 2.83 \times 10^{-43} m^3$$

The radius of the sphere having volume  $v_0$  is  $r_0 \approx 4 \times 10^{-15} m$ , that is of the order of size of the atomic nucleus. Therefore, the formula (21) shows that when the size of the universe was of the order of atomic nucleus its density was close to the Planck density  $\rho_{Pl}$ . Besides, the volume  $v_0$  of the recent universe having average density  $\bar{\rho} \approx \rho_c \sim 10^{-26} kg/m^3$  holds matter and energy equivalent to the Hubble mass  $m_H$ .

As the large number  $N$  is inverse proportional to  $H$ , the former increases during the expansion. The ratios (20) show that the mass of the observable universe  $M$  increases linearly with the cosmological time  $H^{-1}$ , whereas Hubble (graviton) mass decreases. Besides, the total density of the universe  $\bar{\rho} \approx \rho_c$  decreases quadratic with cosmological time. However, the time variations of these quantities are negligible:

$$(22) \quad \frac{\dot{M}}{M} = -\frac{\dot{m}_H}{m_H} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\bar{\rho}}}{\bar{\rho}} = \frac{\dot{N}}{N} \sim H \approx 7.26 \times 10^{-11} yr^{-1}$$

In addition, the large number  $N$  and Dirac’s large number  $N_D$  are connected by the approximate formula (23):

$$(23) \quad N_D \sim N^{2/3} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{c^5}{2G\hbar H^2}} \approx 3.2 \times 10^{40}$$

## Conclusions

Three mass dimension quantities  $m_i$  have been derived by dimensional analysis, in addition to the Planck mass  $m_{Pl} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} \approx 2.17 \times 10^{-8} kg$ . Four fundamental constants – the speed of light in vacuum ( $c$ ), the gravitational constant ( $G$ ), the reduced Planck constant ( $\hbar$ ) and the Hubble constant ( $H$ ) have been involved in the dimensional analysis. The first derived mass dimension quantity  $m_1 \sim \frac{\hbar H}{c^2} \sim 10^{-33} eV$  has been identified with the Hubble mass, which seems close to the graviton mass. The enormous mass  $m_2 \sim \frac{c^3}{GH} \sim 10^{53} kg$  is close to the mass of the Hubble sphere that

appears gravitationally connected universe for an arbitrary observer. Besides, this formula practically coincides with the Hoyle-Carvalho formula for the mass of the universe obtained by totally different approach. The identification of the two derived masses reinforces the trust in the suggested approach. It is remarkable that the Planck mass appears geometric mean of the masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , i.e.

$m_{pl} = \sqrt{m_1 m_2}$ . The third derived mass  $m_3 \sim \sqrt[5]{\frac{H\hbar^3}{G^2}} \sim 10^7$  GeV could not be identified unambiguously at

present time, and it could be considered as heuristic prediction of the suggested approach concerning unknown very heavy particle or fundamental energy scale.

Besides, the order of magnitude of the total density of the universe has been estimated by means of the suggested approach. Finally, a unique formula for large number

$N = \sqrt{\frac{c^5}{2G\hbar H^2}} \approx 5.73 \times 10^{60}$  has been derived relating cosmological parameters (mass, density, age and size of the observable universe) and fundamental microscopic properties of the matter (Planck mass, Planck density, Planck time, Planck length and Hubble mass). Thus, a precise formulation and proof of *LNH* has been found.

### References:

1. P l a n c k, M. The Theory of Heat Radiation (New York: Dover Publications, 1959) – translation from German Ed. 1906.
2. G e o r g i, H., Q u i n n, H. R., W e i n b e r g, S. Hierarchy of Interactions in Unified Gauge Theories, Phys. Rev. Lett., 33, 451-454, 1974.
3. B e r g m a n n, P. G. The Riddle of Gravitation (New York: Dover Publications, 1992).
4. B r i d g m a n, P. W. Dimensional Analysis (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1922).
5. H u n t l e y, H. E. Dimensional Analysis (New York: Dover Publications, 1967).
6. K u r t h, R. Dimensional Analysis and Group Theory in Astrophysics (Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1972).
7. B h a s k a r, R., N i g a m, A. Qualitative physics using dimensional analysis, Artificial Intelligence, 45, 73-111, 1990.
8. P e t t y, G. W. Automated computation and consistency checking of physical dimensions and units in scientific programs, Software – Practice and Experience, 31, 1067-1076, 2001.
9. L e v y – L e b l o n d, J. M. On the conceptual nature of the physical constants, Riv. Nuovo Cim., 7, 187-214, 1977.
10. D u f f, M. J. Comment on time-variation of fundamental constants, Preprint: arxiv:hep-th/0208093, 2002.
11. D u f f, M. J., O k u n, L.B., V e n e z i a n o, G. Dialogue on the number of fundamental constants, J. High En. Phys., Issue 03, id. 023, 2002.
12. B a r r o w, J. D. The Constants of Nature: From Alpha to Omega (London: Jonathan Cape, 2002).
13. F r i t z s c h, H. The Fundamental Constants, a Mystery of Physics (Singapore: World Scientific, 2009).
14. H u b b l e, E. A Relation between distance and radial velocity among extra-galactic nebulae, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 15, 168-173, 1929.
15. F r i e d m a n, A. Uber die Krümmung des Raumes, Z. Physik, 10, 377-386, 1922.
16. E i n s t e i n, A. Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie, Annalen der Physik, 354, 769-822, 1916.
17. P e e b l e s, P. J. Physical Cosmology (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1971).
18. D i r a c, P. A. M. The Cosmological Constants, Nature, 139, 323, 1937.
19. W u, Y., W a n g, Z. Time variation of Newton's gravitational constant in superstring theories, Phys. Rev. Lett., 57, 1978-1981, 1986.
20. W e b b, J. K. et al. Further Evidence for Cosmological Evolution of the Fine Structure Constant, Phys. Rev. Lett., 87, id. 091301, 2001.
21. N a r l i k a r, J. V. The Structure of the Universe (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1977).
22. C e t t o, A., d e l a P e n a, L., S a n t o s, E. Dirac's large-number hypothesis revised, Astron. Astrophys., 164, 1-5, 1986.
23. J o r d a n, P. Die Herkunft der Sterne (Stuttgart: Wiss.Verlagsges., 1947).
24. S h e m i – z a d e h, V. E. Coincidence of large numbers, exact value of cosmological parameters and their analytical representation, Preprint: arXiv:gr-qc/0206084, 2002.
25. P e a c o c k, J. A. Cosmological Physics (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999).
26. A n d r e e v, A. Y., K o m b e r g, B. V. Cosmological Parameters and the Large Numbers of Eddington and Dirac, Astron. Rep., 44, 139-141, 2000.
27. V a l e v, D. Phenomenological mass relation for free massive stable particles and estimations of neutrino and graviton masses, Preprint: arxiv:1004.2449, 2010.
28. M o h r, P., T a y l o r, B. J. CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants, Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 28, 1713-1852, 1999.
29. M o u l d, J. R. et al. The Hubble space telescope key project on the extragalactic distance scale. XXVIII. Combining the constraints on the Hubble constant, Astrophys. J., 529, 786-794, 2000.
30. M a o r, I., B r u s t e i n, R. Distinguishing among scalar field models of dark energy, Phys. Rev. D, 67, id. 103508, 2003.



31. G a z e a u, J. P., T o p p a n, F. A natural fuzzyness of de Sitter space-time, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 27, id. 025004, 2010.
32. L l o y d, S., Computational Capacity of the Universe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 88, id. 237901, 2002.
33. B e c k w i t h, A. W. Energy content of gravitation as a way to quantify both entropy and information generation in the early universe, *J. Modern Phys.*, 2, 58-61, 2011.
34. G k i g k i t z i s, I., H a r a n a s, I., K i r k, S. Number of information and its relation to the cosmological constant resulting from Landauer's principle, *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, 348, 553-557, 2013.
35. W o o d w a r d, J. F., C r o w l e y, R. J., Y o u r g r a u, W. Mach's principle and the rest mass of the graviton, *Phys. Rev. D*, 11, 1371-1374, 1975.
36. G e r s h t e i n, S. S., L o g u n o v, A. A., M e s t v i r i s h v i l i, M. A. On the upper limit for the graviton mass, *Dokl. Phys.*, 43, 293-296, 1998.
37. V a l e v, D. Neutrino and graviton mass estimations by a phenomenological approach, *Aerospace Res. Bulg.*, 22, 68-82, 2008; Preprint: arxiv:hep-ph/0507255.
38. A l v e s, M. E., M i r a n d a, O. D., d e A r a u j o, J. C. Can massive gravitons be an alternative to dark energy, Preprint : arxiv:0907.5190, 2009.
39. G o l d h a b e r, A. S., N i e t t o, M. M. Mass of the graviton, *Phys. Rev. D*, 9, 1119-1121, 1974.
40. V a l e v, D. Estimations of total mass and energy of the observable universe, *Phys. Int.*, 5, 15-20, 2014; Preprint: arxiv:1004.1035.
41. K r a g h, H. *Cosmology and Controversy: The Historical Development of Two Theories of the Universe* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1999).
42. C a r v a l h o, J. C. Derivation of the mass of the observable universe, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 34, 2507-2509, 1995.
43. M a n g a n o, M., T r i p p e, T. The top quark, *Europ. Phys. J. C*, 15, 385-391, 2000.
44. V a l e v, D. Three fundamental masses derived by dimensional analysis, *Am. J. Space Sci.*, 1, 145-149, 2013.
45. B a l b i, A. et al. Constraints on cosmological parameters from MAXIMA-1, *Astrophys. J.*, 545, L1-L4, 2000.
46. d e B e r n a r d i s, P. et al. A flat universe from high-resolution maps of the cosmic microwave background radiation, *Nature*, 404, 955-959, 2000.
47. S p e r g e l, D. N. et al. First-year Wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe (WMAP) Observations: Determination of cosmological parameters, *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Series*, 148, 175-194, 2003.